UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

MAGISTRATE JUDGE KIMBERLY ALTMAN

V.

No. 21-20354

D-24 BRANDON THOMAS,

Defendant.

ARRAIGNMENT AND DETENTION HEARING (Conducted Via Zoom Videoconferencing Software) Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Appearances:

Danielle Asher
U.S. Attorney's Office
211 W. Fort Street, #2300
Detroit, Michigan 48226

(212) 226 2122 (313) 226-9100 On behalf of Plaintiff

(248) 221-7726 On behalf of Defendant

To obtain a certified transcript, contact: Sheri K. Ward, Official Court Reporter Theodore Levin United States Courthouse 231 West Lafayette Boulevard Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313)234-2604 · ward@transcriptorders.com

Transcript produced using machine shorthand and CAT software.

INDEX

<u>Proceedings</u> <u>Page</u>
Arraignment4
Detention Hearing5
Proffer by Ms. Asher5
Argument by Ms. Asher18
Argument by Ms. Gracey23
Ruling of the Court30
Certification of Reporter38

E X H I B I T S

<u>Number</u> <u>Description</u> <u>Id'd Rcvd Vol</u>.

None Marked, Offered or Received

_ _ _

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021 Page 3 1 Detroit, Michigan 2 Wednesday, June 9, 2021 3 11:17 a.m. 4 5 (Call to Order of the Court.) 6 THE CLERK: Calling Case Number 21-20354, 7 United States v. Brendon Thomas. 8 Counsel, please state your name for the record. 9 MS. ASHER: Good morning, Your Honor. Danielle Asher 10 on behalf of the United States. 11 MS. GRACEY: Good morning, Your Honor. For the 12 record, Judy Gracey on behalf of Mr. Thomas, who is 13 participating by Zoom video via the Livingston County Jail with 14 no objection. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Good afternoon. 16 Good afternoon, Mr. Thomas. Can you please state your 17 name for the record. 18 THE DEFENDANT: Brandon Lamar Thomas. 19 afternoon, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. And before we get started, as your 21 lawyer just explained, ordinarily you would have a right to an 22 in-person hearing in court, but the court is closed so we're 23 doing the proceedings over Zoom, and you agree to have your 24 hearings over Zoom today? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 21-20354; U.S.A. v. D-24 Brandon Thomas

Page 4

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Well, the reason you are here today is to be arraigned on the indictment and for a detention hearing, and, as to the arraignment, have you had an opportunity to go over the charges and maximum penalties with your lawyer?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to go over them as well.

You are charged in Count 1, RICO conspiracy. It's a violation of 18 U.S.C. Section 1962, for which if you are convicted or plead guilty you could be sentenced to a term of up to life imprisonment, a \$250,000 fine, and up to five years of supervised release.

You are also charged in Count 4, Conspiracy to Distribute Controlled Substances, in violation of 21 U.S.C. Section 846 and 841, for which you could be sentenced to a term of at least ten years and up to life imprisonment, a \$10 million fine, and at least five years of supervised release. There is also an enhanced penalty notice, and I do have in front of me an Acknowledgment of the Indictment that appears to be signed by you and by your counsel. Is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: That's right.

THE COURT: Okay. Counsel, are you prepared to enter a plea on behalf of your client at this time?

MS. GRACEY: I am, Your Honor. At this time we waive any further formal reading. My client will stand mute, and we

Page 5

ask that the Court enter a plea of not guilty on his behalf on both charges.

THE COURT: I will enter a plea of not guilty on his behalf, and the record will reflect that he has been arraigned on the indictment.

Ms. Asher, is the detention hearing still going?

MS. ASHER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. You may proceed when ready.

MS. ASHER: Thank you, Your Honor.

As Your Honor just noted, Mr. Thomas is charged in Count 1, the RICO conspiracy, as well as Count 4, a drug-trafficking conspiracy. Count 4 carries a mandatory minimum ten years if convicted, and there is also a presumption of detention for this count. The government is moving both on nonappearance grounds as well as dangerousness.

Mr. Thomas is named in ten overt acts in the indictment. The majority of those are a crime within the last few months. He's named in Overt Act 53, which is on Page 47; Overt Act 179, which is on Page 87; Overt Acts 233 and 234 on Page 101; Overt Act 240 on Page 103; Overt Acts 246 and 247 on Page 105; Overt Act 249 on Page 106; Overt Act 261 on Page 110; and Overt Act 270 on Page 112. And I will encompass a majority of this during my proffer as well.

As Your Honor is aware, we filed a written proffer about the Almighty Vice Lord Nation, and I just want to highlight a

Page 6

few facts as those relate specifically to Mr. Thomas.

As Your Honor is aware, the Almighty Vice Lord Nation is a highly organized violent gang with a chain of command and strict by-laws. Vice Lord leaders, like Defendant Number 1, Kevin Fordham, issue Key 21 kill orders, where members are required to carry out these orders to kill members suspected of cooperating with law enforcement or rival gang members.

They also issue orders to assault Vice Lord members who are in violation of these strict by-laws, and members like Brandon Thomas must immediately follow these orders from these higher ranked leaders like someone who is Kevin Fordham.

Your Honor is also aware of the power struggles that are currently going on in the Vice Lord leadership. Based on Vice Lord literature, treason requires punishment by either a life of misery or death.

Your Honor is aware that there are plans with the Mafia Insane and Traveling Vice Lords to overthrow Vice Lord leadership, including the Prince -- IVL Prince Kevin Fordham, Defendant 1, and during that conversation Mr. Thomas is also specifically mentioned as one of the people who needs to be taken out first because he's someone that they would need to worry about because he is a high-ranking member under Kevin Fordham.

There are significant concerns for violent retaliation based on this plot by Vice Lord members, particularly like

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Page 7

someone who is Brandon Thomas, who is named and specifically listed as part of this plot, and who also has a high-ranking role, who is expected to carry out violence on behalf of the gang.

He is a Chief Enforcer, and he is Kevin Fordham's chief enforcer, Defendant Number 1. And I'll explain further that he's the person that Kevin Fordham turns to when he needs murders or he needs physical beatings for Vice Lord members who are in violation of Vice Lord law.

Additionally, Vice Lord members like Brandon Thomas sell drugs. They utilize an expansive Vice Lord drug-trafficking network. Vice Lord members like Brandon Thomas are involved in selling fentanyl and heroin.

THE COURT: Excuse me, Ms. Asher. I just want to briefly interrupt you.

Mr. Thomas, this is the government's turn to make its proffer and its argument, and your lawyer will have an opportunity to rebut that on your behalf -- okay -- so you don't need to raise your hand or anything. It's just a time to listen; okay? Okay?

All right. You may proceed, Ms. Asher.

MS. ASHER: Thank you, Your Honor.

As I was explaining, Vice Lord members like Brandon Thomas enjoy connections to drug suppliers and they also utilize an interstate drug network to further drug trafficking on behalf

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Page 8

of the gang. They are also involved in armed drug trafficking.

Your Honor, I would also proffer several things from the Pretrial Services Report, but, just as an initial matter, respectfully the government believes that the Pretrial Services report is lacking significant relevant information that the Court should consider in determining whether Mr. Thomas poses a nonappearance risk or a danger to the community if released on bond, and we believe that this highlights his inability to be successfully monitored by Pretrial Services if he is released. Additionally, we would note the report has no mention of Mr. Thomas' gang ties.

As far as the residence that Mr. Thomas provided, he provided 20576 Vaughan, which is one of the locations where ATF agents executed a search warrant at and where Mr. Thomas was arrested on June 3rd, and I'll explain some details about that later, but I would note that both Mr. Thomas and his girl friend told Pretrial Services that they had been living at that address for the past year and then Pretrial Services was unable to verify where he had lived before that. He said he lived on and off on Prest for a year and on Santa Clara for five years in Detroit, but, again, he was not truthful to Pretrial Services about this. He never admitted to staying at a second location off Brady in Redford, Michigan.

Upon his arrest, Brandon Thomas told ATF that he was staying both on Vaughan and on Brady. He explained that his

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Page 9

baby mama lived on Vaughan, but he also stayed at Brady, and this is a location where his nephew lives, and he also provided another location for his wife on Pierson.

ATF obtained a ping warrant on Mr. Thomas' phones, and it showed that he splits his time evenly. During the month of May at least he's splitting his time evenly between that Vaughan residence and Brady residence.

He also was traveling out of town on May 16th, 2021 -- out of state, I'm sorry, on May 16th, 2021, and his ping data showed up until the time of his arrest on June 3rd he was evenly splitting his time and spending the night at both the Brady residence, which I'll talk about later where ATF also executed a search warrant, and the Vaughan residence that he provided to Pretrial Services and that his girl friend also confirmed with Pretrial Services.

He was at the Brady residence the day before the search warrant, and he spent the night at Vaughan the day of the search warrant. So, again, he was not truthful to Pretrial Services and neither was his girl friend when they were explaining where his residence is, and he is requesting to be released to that Vaughan address.

Additionally, Your Honor, his employment history, he says that he is, quote-unquote, a "self-employed security guard."

And that is actually -- would be consistent with his role as a Chief Enforcer for this gang, which would explain some of the

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Page 10

different violent activities that he was involved in, both for the IVL, and he's also a member of the Phantom Motorcycle Club, which I will talk about in a moment.

Pretrial Services notes his mental health history. He was hospitalized five years ago for hearing voices and is currently taking Risperdal, which is a medication for a mood disorder, and Seroquel, which is a psychotropic medication for schizophrenia.

Mr. Thomas has over a decade of repeatedly failing to appear with outstanding warrants. He has ten active warrants based on the Pretrial Services Report. Nine of those are for failing to appear, starting in 2009 and as recently as 2020, November of 2020, a criminal bench warrant for failure to appear.

He also has several arrests. He has a misdemeanor weapons conviction from 2006, but he does have several other arrests, including a 2013 arrest for felony CSC, unlawful imprisonment, assault with intent to commit great broadly harm, and assault with a dangerous weapon. Based on the police report for that incident, the victim explained that Mr. Thomas thought that the victim had molested a child. The victim said that Mr. Thomas threatened him with a steak knife and shoved a metal broom up his rectum. He also took gardening sheers and threatened to cut off the victim's genitals. But, again, there was never a conviction. That case was dismissed.

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Page 11

Pretrial Services notes that the defendant has numerous outstanding warrants for failure to appear and ties to out of the state, and we disagree that there are conditions that would be in place that would be able to reasonably assure his appearance as required.

Additionally, Your Honor, we believe for the Pretrial Services recommendation for release based on the danger to the community it's lacking, most notably, his gang affiliation but also any relation to drug trafficking, which we'll explain in a moment, as well as his involvement in ongoing shootings and discussions about murder and his involvement in extortion.

Turning to Mr. Thomas' role within the Almighty Vice Lord Nation, Mr. Thomas is the Chief Enforcer for the entire Insane Vice Lord branch. He is also the National President for the Phantom's Motorcycle Club. This is a group that's affiliated with the Vice Lords, and it's also been recognized as a criminal enterprise in a previous federal RICO case, United States v. Nicholson.

Mr. Thomas himself admitted in April of 2021 that he is the Chief Enforcer over the Nation right underneath P. P is an unindicted coconspirator. And the way that the ranking system goes is Defendant 1, Kevin Fordham; Number 2 is P; and Number 3 would be Mr. Thomas, the Chief Enforcer, the third highest rank within the Insane Vice Lords. Under Vice Lord literature Chief Enforcers are expected to handle discipline, enforce all

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Page 12

commands sent down to him from Vice Lord leaders, and this includes acts of violence, like assaults for members who are in violation and murder.

He is involved in extortion with Vice Lords and Phantom's Motorcycle members. In 2018 he attempted to extort K.M. for both \$2,000 and his motorcycle. He sent threatening text messages to K.M., arrived at the victim's home, threatened to kill the victim and his family, and said he had a gun in the back of the truck, and this was all because Mr. Thomas was told by another Vice Lord and Phantom Motorcycle Club member that he thought the victim was having an affair with his wife -- with the other member's wife, not Mr. Thomas's wife.

Additionally, the vehicle that was involved in that confrontation was registered to Boss Hogg Protection, and Boss Hogg is Mr. Thomas' gang alias.

As Vice Lords they are required to carry out violent acts, and he is also likely to possess firearms both in his person and in his residence, and during a federal search warrant on both of his residences ATF found firearms at both locations, and I'll discuss the other items found in detail in a moment.

Additionally, during a three-month period between February of 2021 and April of 2021 there were numerous calls intercepted between Mr. Thomas and IVL Prince Defendant 1, Kevin Fordham.

One of those calls was discussing a plot to murder a Vice Lord member known as Lil Ugly.

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Page 13

During a call on March 13th, 2021, Brandon Thomas was talking to Kevin Fordham about an IVL member's request to have this individual murdered. During the call Thomas is telling Kevin Fordham that he thinks they don't have the full information, but then he tells Kevin Fordham that he would, quote, "ride" for Fordham because Fordham is a Vice Lord and he says he does not have a problem handling the situation.

So if Kevin Fordham wants him to kill this guy even though he doesn't think he has the full information, he's telling Kevin Fordham, "I'm willing to do it. I'm willing to handle the situation." And during this conversation he's using a cavalier tone, like he's having any other normal conversation talking about the weather, talking about what's going on, instead here he's talking about killing someone.

There is, additionally, another call from April of 2021 where Brandon Thomas, it shows, is in charge of both carrying out and overseeing these physical violations of Vice Lord members who are in violation of Vice Lords law again at the direction of Kevin Fordham. During this call on April 30th with Kevin Fordham, Kevin Fordham is telling Thomas that "you the Chief Enforcer," and he's telling Brandon Thomas that he's not needed to go to this meeting unless there is going to be something that needs to be handled, referring to a violation for a Vice Lord member.

Thomas then asks Fordham, "Oh, you don't think it's going 21-20354; U.S.A. v. D-24 Brandon Thomas

Page 14

to be a violation today, bro?"

Fordham then said, if there are going to be violations handed out, then he explained that sisters who are female Vice Lord members, they violate each other and so Thomas does not need to handle it.

Thomas then responded that he'll handle it and asked Kevin Fordham what's the issue.

Kevin Fordham said that's not the way that violations should be handled and that Thomas wasn't listening to him. He tells Thomas that for the sisters he should read the charges, which are the violations — alleged violations against them, and if they are not right, then he won't violate them, but if the charges are right against these Vice Lord members, Kevin Fordham tells Thomas to, quote, "do what you do."

Thomas then responds, "You act like you don't know what I'm doing yet."

Fordham responds, "I didn't say you didn't. I know you do. That's why you're in the position."

And Thomas responds, "Right. Ima take care of it, bro."

This shows that, again, Kevin Fordham is giving him the direction. He's telling him to oversee this trial, oversee whether these charges for these alleged violations of these members are true, and then if they are he's responsible for carrying out and making sure that violation happens.

Additionally, Your Honor, we intercepted a call with

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Page 15

Mr. Thomas where he's talking about his recent involvement in a shooting to protect another Vice Lord member from March 23rd, 2021. He's telling Kevin Fordham about how he received this call from a Vice Lord member who said he was in the middle of a shooting and needed assistance. Thomas said he was going to ride for that Vice Lord member because he was a Vice Lord, and he went out and he engaged in a shooting to protect that Vice Lord member.

He has repeatedly shown a willingness to engage in violence, whether it be murder, shootings to protect Vice Lords or at the command of Vice Lord leaders.

Additionally, Your Honor, he does have some social media posts as well involving firearms. In 2018, December 2018 he posted a photo with an assault rifle with a high-capacity drum magazine and a AR-style pistol, and the rifle had a magnified optic laser combination — combination effects for accuracy, and the caption of this photo was "work work," and this was posted about six months after his involvement in that explosion.

He's also posted firearms to Facebook as recently as February of 2021, and he also posted a photo on February 23rd, 2021, of himself holding a firearm with two other individuals, and that photo is captioned, "We laying like that. Brothers, you know, we missing a couple. You fuck with one, don't think others ain't coming. Tell you that right now. You might as

Page 16

well just leave us the fuck alone."

Additionally, Your Honor, Mr. Thomas is an armed drug dealer who travels out of state to obtain drugs. On March 24th, 2021, he discussed selling heroin and arranged for a sale the next day at that Brady location that I mentioned previously.

THE COURT: Excuse me, Ms. Asher. I'm sorry to interrupt you again.

Mr. Thomas, I can see you again, and I know that you are disagreeing with what the government is saying, but I'm going to ask you to please keep your emotions more in check -- okay -- and just listen; okay? Okay? I know you're on mute, but I know you can hear me. All right? Your lawyer will have an opportunity to talk on your behalf. Okay? Okay.

Go ahead, Ms. Asher.

MS. ASHER: Thank you, Your Honor. So as I was saying, on March 24th, 2021, he arranged to sell heroin for the next day at the Brady location that I mentioned, and on March 25th he sold approximately 32 grams. It was supposed to be heroin, but when it went to the lab, it actually tested positive for fentanyl, which I'm sure, as Your Honor is aware, is an incredibly dangerous substance connected at the center of the opioid epidemic in this country. And, again, he was saying he was selling heroin, but it tested positive for fentanyl.

Additionally, Your Honor, there are calls in March and

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Page 17

April where Mr. Thomas continued to discuss selling heroin. He mentioned that he only had fentanyl at the time, and he needed to go out of state to obtain more heroin.

ATF executed both an arrest warrant and two federal search warrants at the two locations associated with Mr. Thomas, the Brady location and the Vaughan location that he wants to return to.

At the Vaughan location they seized ammunition, a magazine and a semi-automatic pistol. That's also the location where Mr. Thomas was arrested.

At the Brady location, which was the same location where that drug deal occurred, they seized a biker bus -- a gun box with a firearm receipt and two magazines, paperwork titled National Laws, a shotgun, a bag with drug-packaging material, a 9mm firearm, a digital scale, approximately 15.12 grams of suspected drugs, approximately 700 grams of suspected heroin. If that comes back as heroin and not fentanyl, just the heroin alone, that would be enough weight to trigger a five-year mandatory minimum. Additionally, 800 grams of suspected marijuana and additional ammunition for the 12-gauge shotgun and the 9mm firearm.

This was all found upstairs in the Brady location. Agents have photos of him upstairs in that Brady location, and he also admitted to ATF that he sleeps upstairs at the Brady location and, based on his ping data, he had stayed there the night

Page 18

before the search warrant on June 2nd, 2021.

And so ATF found firearms at both homes. They found at the Brady location drug-packaging materials, a significant amount of suspected heroin, which again this may actually test as fentanyl based on the prior sale where he was selling heroin and it tested as fentanyl, as well as assorted heroin.

Turning now to our argument, Your Honor. As I mentioned at the beginning, there is a statutory presumption for detention, and the defendant must rebut this presumption, but even if he does, it's still a factor for the Court to consider, and the government believes that we have shown by the clear and convincing evidence that there are no conditions or combinations of conditions that will reasonably assure the safety of any person in the community as well as a preponderance of the evidence that there are no conditions or combinations of conditions that will reasonably assure his appearance as required.

When we look at the nature and circumstances of this offense, as Your Honor has indicated in prior hearings, we have drug dealing plus gang ties, which is incredibly serious. But here we have Mr. Thomas, who is the Chief Enforcer for the IVL. He is the right-hand man for Kevin Fordham, and when Kevin Fordham needs muscle, he is the person that he's turning to. Brandon Thomas is the person that Kevin Fordham is turning to.

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Page 19

We know based on Vice Lord literature that as a Chief Enforcer he is expected to enforce discipline, enforce any commands that are given to him by Vice Lord leaders, and that includes assaults and murders. He cannot refuse these orders, and based on these conversations that we have intercepted between him and Mr. Fordham, it is clear that he is willing to carry out these orders even when he has no interest, even when he is not involved, even when it's a murder that he doesn't think they have the full evidence. He is saying, "Kevin Fordham, if you are telling me to do this, I'm going to do it." He's showing a commitment to the Vice Lord literature and a commitment to Vice Lord leaders to carry out murders on behalf of the gang.

There are also significant concerns for witness safety and security. As Your Honor is aware, Vice Lords kill or attempt to kill members or people who they think might be cooperating with law enforcement, and that was happening before this large indictment. Brandon Thomas himself has shown a willingness to engage in violence, including murder, at the direction of Vice Lord leaders, and, again, that was when he had no interest in the matter. So now he's in this huge RICO indictment and so he poses a significant danger to people who are suspected of cooperating with law enforcement.

He's also responsible for carrying out these physical beatings, these violations for Vice Lord members, and

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Page 20

Kevin Fordham told Brandon Thomas that there's a reason why he is the Chief Enforcer. He has a history -- based on that conversation, we can assume he has a history of carrying out these orders, and, while they are talking about this violation, Brandon Thomas is telling Kevin Fordham, "You act like you don't know what I'm doing," again showing that he has a history of carrying out these kinds of violations.

Again, he's the person that Kevin Fordham is turning to when he needs muscle, whether that's to murder someone or whether that's just a physical violation to get other Vice Lords in line with Vice Lord law.

Your Honor also detained Kevin Fordham yesterday because of his involvement in ordering these types of violent -- or issuing these types of violent orders to gang members, and Your Honor also detained Winisford Watkins, who is a Chief Enforcer underneath Brandon Thomas. So he is someone who Brandon Thomas could also issue orders to to engage in violence and to carry out discipline, and, again, in both of those cases Pretrial Services recommended detention based on dangerousness for those individuals.

Your Honor, there is also the ongoing power struggles where Mr. Thomas was one of the individuals specifically discussed when they are talking about taking out Kevin Fordham and taking out his leadership. Brandon Thomas is one of the first people that they are saying they need to take care of.

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Page 21

He's directly involved, and there are significant concerns for his retaliation based on this plot to, not only kill someone who is he very close to, Kevin Fordham, but he is also directly named in that as well.

And then there is also evidence that he is an armed drug dealer who is selling fentanyl, both knowingly fentanyl, as he admitted, saying that he only had fentanyl and not heroin, but he is also selling heroin and that's testing positive as fentanyl as well, which is incredibly dangerous.

Your Honor, we believe that the weight of the evidence as it relates to both dangerousness and risk of flight is very strong for the reasons that I discussed above for the dangerousness, as well as he's facing the ten-year mandatory minimum as charged, and then, based on the additional drugs that may come back, he may be facing additional mandatory minimum charges as well.

Your Honor, when we look at his history and characteristics, the best predicter of his future appearance is his past conduct, and he has a decade of failing to appear for court appearances. He has family ties out of state. He goes out of the state to obtain drugs, and, based on his ping warrant, we know he was recently out of state in May.

He also was untruthful to Pretrial Services about where he was living, again, distancing himself from the 700 grams of suspected heroin, the shotgun, the handgun, the drug-packaging

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Page 22

materials, the ammunition, and the approximately 800 grams of suspected marijuana. I mean he's engaging in all of this behavior while he's saying he's living with his girl friend, who has offered to be a third-party custodian, and, again, she verified that Mr. Thomas was living with her for the past year. So either she was also being untruthful to Pretrial Services or she's unaware about where Mr. Thomas is and what he's doing. She's either, again, untruthful about his drug dealing or she's unaware of what he's doing, and she's also pregnant. So she's not a suitable third-party custodian for Mr. Thomas.

Additionally, Your Honor, as we stated earlier, his employment history as a security guard, that's consistent with him being in the role of a Chief Enforcer. That's consistent with the extortion that he was involved with in 2018 where a Vice Lord and a Phantom Motorcycle Club member comes to him and says I think this guy is having an affair with my wife, and what does Mr. Thomas do? He tries to extort that person for money, for his motorcycle, and he threatens that victim's family.

Your Honor, the nature and seriousness of the danger posed to any person in the community is incredibly high. We have someone who is a Vice Lord member and a Phantom Motorcycle Club member; he has this role as the chief enforcer; he is the right-hand man, the muscle, to Kevin Fordham; and he is also an armed drug supplier of fentanyl and heroin, which makes him

Page 23

incredibly dangerous, and for those reasons, Your Honor, we are respectfully requesting that the Court detain Mr. Thomas pending trial. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Asher.

Ms. Gracey.

MS. GRACEY: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.

First and foremost, Judge, I would ask that the Court look and lean heavily on the recommendation from Pretrial Services. I think that, although the government argues that Pretrial did not have complete information, I would restate knowing that the Court knows that this is not a trial, this is just a hearing as it relates to bond. So all of the information that the government has provided to the Court, one, counsel has not had an opportunity to view or review to rebut any of that information; and, two, this is not a trial and so all of the things that are stated by the government are just that, mere allegations, which need to be proven in order to accept it as being true.

With that being said, Judge, looking at the Pretrial Services report, there are a number of things that I want to bring to the Court's attention. First, Mr. Thomas is 34 years of age. He's been a lifelong resident of the State of Michigan. More importantly, the Detroit area. So I think that, in terms of his ties to the community, is very significant.

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Page 24

In looking at Mr. Thomas' criminal history or I should say the lack thereof, the government would speak to cases that, quite frankly, even in the report by Pretrial Services indicates that in fact those cases were dismissed.

More pointedly, when my client was 26 years of age, according to the Pretrial Services report, the cases that the government mentions I think really only to inflame the Court about criminal sexual conduct, unlawful imprisonment, assault with intent to do great bodily harm less than murder and felonious assault, all of those cases were dismissed, Judge, so holding that against him would be inappropriate.

And, looking at the rest of the information supplied by Pretrial Services as it relates to any convictions, I would state to the Court, according to the report, the only conviction that I see on record here is a driving while license suspended, a 93-day misdemeanor, back in 2009. The rest of the information has no -- it's either been dismissed or there's no record of any type of conviction.

If the Court were to agree with the government that Mr. Thomas is this very, very dangerous person, Judge, the last contact that was dismissed was in 2013.

I know the government stated, and it's in the report, for some failures to appear. All misdemeanor cases, Judge. The last thing that's recorded is November 17 of 2020 while we're during the pandemic so I would ask that the Court take that --

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Page 25

and that was for driving while license suspended in the 46th District Court in Southfield, Michigan -- to look at that as it is, Judge. Most cases -- a number of cases for a number of defendants have either gone in bench warrant status, et cetera, because even the courts were not equipped and prepared for Zoom hearings. So that is the one -- in October 13th of 2017 is another driving while license suspended, very insignificant.

But, more importantly, if the Court were to adopt the argument of the government saying that Mr. Thomas is this, you know, very, very dangerous person, I would submit to the Court where are his convictions? Where are his convictions for murder and drug dealing and assaultive crimes? There are none.

If he is that -- if the Court adopts or agrees with the argument of the government, if he's that dangerous, if he's done all of these illegal acts, then he should have some convictions. Where does it state in the report that Mr. Thomas has spent one day in prison? Zero, none.

So I don't know how, that if the Court were to agree with that, how at 34 years of age he could have escaped all of these, not only convictions, but not even crimes that he's been charged with or arrested, drugs, murder, nothing that he has. He has not spent a day in prison. As a matter of fact, it's not even clear here that he's spent more than a day or so in a county jail for these misdemeanor — the driving while license suspended.

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Page 26

Judge, he's a family man. He has seven children.

Ms. Erica McElhaney, who is on the call, that I would submit to the Court could be a proper third-party custodian, they have a three-month-old child together. At the time that he was arrested he was at the Vaughan address.

The government would argue, well, he wasn't being truthful, neither was Ms. McElhaney. I would submit to the Court he was being truthful. There is nothing illegal, there is nothing wrong with living at one address and perhaps spending the night at another address. It doesn't mean that you claim that second address as being your residence. But he's been in this relationship with Ms. McElhaney for over a year, and that's where they are located.

In terms of the arrest itself, he was arrested at about 6:00 a.m. in bed at the Vaughan address with other -Ms. McElhaney and other minor children that were there, part of that household.

He has seven children, Judge. He does have his own, his own business as well. Again, a big stretch from saying -- he indicated that he was a security guard -- that all of a sudden the Court is supposed to assume that that is a security guard meaning for an enforcer. That's a little bit absurd, Judge, and I would ask that the Court disregard that and agree that if he has his own business it does not mean that he is -- that that is an illegal business for the gang.

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Page 27

Also, in looking at the government's argument that at the time that he was arrested that firearms were found, she also indicated that in fact there was a receipt for the firearm. I would submit to the Court that one of the firearms — both of the firearms were properly registered, and one of them actually was not only properly registered but belonged to Ms. McElhaney, but it's my understanding that that was seized, and there were no other firearms at the Vaughan address. Typically if you're doing illegal activity, Judge, you don't have a firearm that you purchase and you have a receipt for.

Judge, I know this Court has heard many of these cases, probably is slated to hear, if not all of them, all 40 of them, but a number of them as well. I would ask the Court not to make this a one size fits all. It's not a one size fits all. There are 40 defendants at this time in this case, all differing degrees of perhaps culpability, no culpability whatsoever, but trying to make everybody fit into the same is wrong. I would ask that the Court only consider the information that's contained -- and I'm sure the Court will -- as it relates to Mr. Thomas.

When looking at not only whether or not the government has met its burden regarding the presumption in this matter, I would state to the Court that it has not. All of the allegations, again, that the Court has heard, I would ask that the Court weigh that against his criminal history or the lack

Page 28

thereof that he doesn't have.

Comparing him, Mr. Thomas to Mr. Fordham or I believe the government had said Mr. Walker, the Court even heard while we were sitting waiting for this case to be called other individuals who had murder convictions and significant convictions and being on pretrial release, also being on supervision. Mr. Thomas has none of those, absolutely none of those.

Looking at all of the factors, Your Honor, I think that the Court should agree with Pretrial Services that there are conditions as it relates to the, the concern that my client would not appear for any future appearances. I think that conditions can be put in place as indicated by Pretrial Services to assure that Mr. Thomas will appear at any future court appearances.

Also, as it relates to the possible dangers to the community, again, look at his history that is before this Court. Not the allegations that have not been proven, but his history, and I would state to the Court that there can be conditions that could be put in place that will assure, not only his appearance for future court case dates, but also assuring his -- that he's not and will not pose a danger to the community.

And also, Judge, as it relates to the third-party custodian, if the Court had questions for Ms. McElhaney, who is

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Page 29

on this call as well, she is 33 years of age. She is gainfully employed as a dialysis technician. She works for Fresenius Kidney Care. She has four children, including the one child, a baby, infant, that she shares with Mr. Thomas. She's been at that address. She's a responsible individual, and she -- I have already spoken with her, and she would clearly agree to be a third-party custodian in this matter to allow Mr. Thomas to be released on an unsecured bond.

I would just respectfully ask -- Mr. Thomas has ties to the community, not only at his church, Vincent Chapel, where he's a drummer there, he also has significant health issues, medical health issues, including asthma -- hold on one second, Judge. Excuse me.

He has asthma. He has high blood pressure. Again, we're still going through a pandemic in this regard, and I would respectfully ask the Court to take that into consideration as well.

And another significant point that was stated in the Pretrial Services report, Mr. Thomas tested negative for any drugs, any drugs whatsoever, and I think that speaks volumes as well as far as him not doing drugs, not being -- testing positive once he was taken into custody on this case.

I just think, Judge, that there are a number of conditions that the Court can fashion to make sure that the Court is satisfied that he does not pose a threat and that in fact he

Page 30

would appear at any future court appearance. The Court could order either house arrest or some type of tether situation, and, again, with a third-party custodian I think would be sufficient as well.

Judge, I would respectfully ask the Court to follow the recommendation of Pretrial Services, allow for a release of Mr. Thomas so he can effectively fight and defend these charges vigorously while out on bond. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Gracey.

Ms. Asher, briefly, please.

MS. ASHER: Nothing further, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. The Court will take a brief recess and come back with its decision. Thank you.

(Recess from 11:59 a.m. to 12:10 p.m.)

THE CLERK: We are back on the record for Brandon Thomas.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Thomas, when I'm considering the government's request to detain you pending resolution of your case, I'm guided by some principles, and your attorney touched on these, and the first and most important is that you are entitled to the presumption of innocence. Nothing that took place in this hearing today or that was in the government's proffer or argument or anything that I might say in my findings is intended or should be construed to affect that presumption. The purpose of today's hearing is to

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Page 31

determine whether or not, notwithstanding the presumption of innocence, you should be detained pending trial in this matter.

And also, under the Bail Reform Act, detention is considered an exceptional step. I'm required to release you unless it is determined that there's no condition or combination of conditions that will reasonably assure your appearance in court or reasonably assure the safety of the community, and the act requires I impose the least-restrictive measures to provide those reasonable assurances.

And here the government is seeking to detain you on the grounds that you are a risk of flight or nonappearance, and they must show that by a preponderance of the evidence, and that you're a danger to the community, which they must show by clear and convincing evidence.

And, as the government noted, this is a presumption case, which means it carries a presumption of detention because you are charged with a drug-trafficking offense for which the penalty is at least ten years. Now, that's a presumption, and the presumption can be rebutted, and you just have to provide some evidence to rebut that presumption, and I think the presumption has been rebutted. I think it's in your lack of significant criminal history, your family ties, your family support, the things that your lawyer talked about today.

But I also have to consider some other things. The nature and circumstances of the offense. No question these are

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Page 32

serious charges, serious allegations of drug trafficking. As the government put forth in its proffer, you are alleged to have been engaged in some drug trafficking and some very serious controlled substances. Your gang activity, your leadership role in the gang, your role as an enforcer is very concerning to the Court. It shows a level of dangerousness in the government's proffer.

But I also consider, you know, your history and characteristics. I do note that you do not have a significant criminal history. I do note that you have family support. You have, you know, you have indicated some employment, but I'm not -- that's a little bit questionable.

But, overall, I'm looking at everything. I'm considering the report of Pretrial Services, and, notwithstanding these very serious charges against you and the very serious allegations that were put forth in the government's proffer, which do give the Court concern, I believe I can find conditions and a combination of conditions that will reasonably assure your appearance and reasonably assure the safety of others in the community. And I'm going to release you on bond, but I'm going to do it with a number of conditions in addition to what's in the Pretrial Services report.

So I want you to listen very carefully. And I see that your girl friend, Ms. McElhaney, is on the call?

MS. GRACEY: Yes, Judge, she is.

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021

Page 33

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. McElhaney, if you want to put your camera on, I want you to hear these conditions, too. Good afternoon.

MS. MCELHANEY: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Thomas, I'm going to release you on a \$10,000 unsecured bond, which means you don't have to put any money up front, with these conditions:

You are going to report to Pretrial Services as directed.

You are going to reside at the Vaughan address with Ms. McElhaney. Okay?

And I'm actually going to put you under what's called home incarceration, which means you need to stay home; okay? You can only leave -- you have got to get preapproval from Pretrial Services, and that would be for things like court appearances or if you need to meet with your attorney or if there's a medical issue that you have to. Okay? So that's going to be home incarceration with the monitoring.

So your travel would be restricted to the Eastern District of Michigan, but you're going to be on home incarceration so you're not going to be able to go anywhere.

You going to avoid all contact with victims, witnesses, codefendants, anybody that's named in the indictment or identified by name, initial, anything. No contact. I know you hear me; okay?

You are to participate in a mental health evaluation or

Page 34

any kind of treatment that Pretrial Services may direct.

You are not to have any firearms in the home or other destructive devices, and all of those need to be removed. I think they were removed even though they were registered apparently to you, Ms. McElhaney. No guns in the house. No guns, no guns.

You are not to use any controlled substances. I know you haven't tested for those.

And you will have to work with Pretrial Services to resolve all of these outstanding warrants to the extent you'll be able to being under home incarceration.

And I'm also going to make Ms. McElhaney your third-party custodian, and what that means, Ms. McElhaney, is you are going to also be responsible to make sure that he complies with all of these conditions, and you are kind of the eyes and ears of the Court; okay? So you will have to be in contact with Pretrial Services, you know, should he not comply with these conditions.

But I think, you know, Mr. Thomas, you know you have every reason in the world to comply with these conditions, don't you? You know what could happen if you don't. You could face additional charges. You could have your bond revoked, and you could be detained pending resolution of this case. So those are all very serious consequences should you not comply with these conditions.

Page 35

Do you understand all of those conditions? Okay. You can unmute so I can hear you. Can you unmute? I want to hear you.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And you agree to abide by those conditions?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I know these are pretty serious conditions that I'm putting on, but I think that that will assure your appearance, and it will assure the safety of the community.

Do we have -- is Ms. Dely here from Pretrial? I think she's signing on. Oh, you're muted. We can't hear you.

PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICER: I'm sorry, can you hear
me now?

THE COURT: We can hear you now.

PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICER: Okay. Your Honor,

Susan Dely from Pretrial Services. I just wanted to add that
the location monitoring installation will occur at the

United States Marshals in Detroit, and that should occur within
48 hours.

THE COURT: Yes, that is correct. It will occur in Detroit at the courthouse, and you are not to be released from custody in any location prior to being placed on location monitoring. So that means they are going to take you from Livingston County, and the Marshals are going to take you back

Page 36

to the Detroit courthouse within 48 hours, and you will have that location monitoring equipment installed at the Detroit courthouse. Okay? Okay?

And did I cover everything else, Ms. Deli?

PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICER: Just one more,

Your Honor, and it's in regards to location monitoring. If he
does gain employment later down the line, that the cost of the
location monitoring, he may absorb some of that cost if deemed
appropriate.

THE COURT: Okay. We'll add that condition as well.

Okay. With that, I don't have anything further on this

matter.

I wish you well, Mr. Thomas.

MS. ASHER: Your Honor, there would be one additional condition we would request. Your Honor already said no contact with codefendants, but we would also request no contact with any gang members, including Vice Lord members or Phantom's Motorcycle Club, and we would also request a 24-hour stay of this order to evaluate an appeal to Judge Borman.

THE COURT: Okay. I can add the condition -- or no contact with any gang members, I thought that sort of covered the indictment, but gang members or motorcycle club members.

And, as far as a 24-hour stay, I'll give you the 24-hour stay, and they may not even be able to get him to the courthouse.

They have 48 hours anyway. Okay?

Page 37 1 MS. ASHER: Thank you, Your Honor. That's all we 2 have. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything further from the 4 government? 5 MS. ASHER: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Anything further, Ms. Gracey? 7 MS. GRACEY: No. Thank you, Your Honor. 8 THE CLERK: Ms. Gracey, I'm going to send you the 9 third-party custodial paper. If you could have that signed and 10 returned to me along with the signed acknowledgment. 11 MS. GRACEY: The signed acknowledgment of the third 12 party you're talk about? 13 No, I mean the acknowledgment of THE CLERK: 14 Mr. Thomas as well. We never got a signed acknowledgement. 15 THE COURT: Oh, I have one. We do have one, Marie. 16 THE CLERK: Oh, did you? Oh, okay. 17 THE COURT: We do. I know it gets a little 18 confusing, but we do have one for Mr. Thomas. 19 THE CLERK: Okay. So just the third party. 20 email that to you. 21 MS. GRACEY: Okay. Thank you. 22 THE COURT: All right. 23 We are off the record for Brandon Thomas. THE CLERK: 24 (Proceedings concluded at 12:20 p.m.)

25

Arraignment and Detention Hearing Wednesday, June 9, 2021 Page 38 CERTIFICATION I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcription of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. s/ Sheri K. Ward 6/10/2021 Sheri K. Ward Date Official Court Reporter